

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL No. 151

DIGEST OF SB 151 (Updated February 18, 2004 6:38 pm - DI 108)

Citations Affected: IC 36-1; IC 36-4.

Synopsis: Local rainy day funds. Reconciles two different versions of the statute allowing political subdivisions to establish rainy day funds. Defines a second class city a city having a population of 35,000 to 499,999 and first class city as a city having a population of 500,000 or more. (Current law defines a second class city as a city having a population of 35,000 to 249,999 and a first class city as a city having a population of 250,000 or more.)

Effective: Upon passage.

Gard, Kenley

(HOUSE SPONSORS — AUSTIN, ESPICH)

January 6, 2004, read first time and referred to Committee on Governmental Affairs and Interstate Cooperation.

January 29, 2004, reported favorably — Do Pass.
February 2, 2004, read second time, ordered engrossed. Engrossed.
February 3, 2004, read third time, passed. Yeas 49, nays 0.

HOUSE ACTION
February 5, 2004, read first time and referred to Committee on Local Government. February 19, 2004, amended, reported — Do Pass.



Second Regular Session 113th General Assembly (2004)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2003 Regular Session of the General Assembly.

ENGROSSED SENATE BILL No. 151

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning local government.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

| SECTION 1. IC 36-1-8-5.1, AS AMENDED BY P.L.267-2003 |
|---|
| SECTION 15, AND P.L.173-2003, SECTION 19, IS AMENDED AND |
| CORRECTED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON |
| PASSAGE]: Sec. 5.1. (a) A political subdivision may establish a rainy |
| day fund to receive transfers of unused and unencumbered funds |
| under: (1) section 5 of this chapter; (2) IC 6-3.5-1.1-21.1; (3) |
| IC 6-3.5-6-17.3; and (4) IC 6-3.5-7-17.3. by the adoption of: |
| (1) an ordinance, in the case of a county, city, or town; or |
| (2) a resolution, in the case of any other political subdivision. |
| |

- (1) The purposes of the rainy day fund.
- (2) The sources of funding for the rainy day fund, which may include the following:

(b) An ordinance or a resolution adopted under this section must

- (A) Unused and unencumbered funds under:
- (i) section 5 of this chapter;
 - (ii) IC 6-3.5-1.1-21.1;

ES 151-LS 6667/DI 73+

specify the following:



11 12

13 14

15

16

17

C







| 1 | (iii) IC 6-3.5-6-17.3; or | | |
|----|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | (iv) IC 6-3.5-7-17.3. | | |
| 3 | (B) Any other funding source: | | |
| 4 | (i) specified in the ordinance or reso | olution adopted under | |
| 5 | this section; and | - | |
| 6 | (ii) not otherwise prohibited by law | w. | |
| 7 | (b) (c) The rainy day fund is subject to the | ne same appropriation | |
| 8 | process as other funds that receive tax mone | ey. Before making an | |
| 9 | appropriation from the rainy day fund, the fis | cal body shall make a | |
| 10 | finding that the proposed use of the rainy day | fund is consistent with | |
| 11 | the intent of the fund. | | |
| 12 | $\frac{(c)}{(d)}$ In any fiscal year, a political subdivis | ion may transfer under | |
| 13 | section 5 of this chapter not more than ten | percent (10%) of the | |
| 14 | political subdivision's total annual budget for the | nat fiscal year, adopted | |
| 15 | under IC 6-1.1-17, to the rainy day fund. | | |
| 16 | (d) (e) A political subdivision may use onl | ly the funding sources | 1 |
| 17 | specified in subsection (b)(2)(A) or in the or | rdinance or resolution | J |
| 18 | establishing the rainy day fund. unless The political subdivision adopts | | |
| 19 | may adopt a subsequent ordinance or resolution authorizing the use | | |
| 20 | of another funding source. | | |
| 21 | (f) The department of local government finan | nce may not reduce the | |
| 22 | actual or maximum permissible levy of a political subdivision as a | | |
| 23 | result of a balance in the rainy day fund of the | political subdivision. | |
| 24 | SECTION 2. IC 36-4-1-1 IS AMENDED TO | READ AS FOLLOWS | |
| 25 | [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 1. (| a) Municipalities are | |
| 26 | classified according to their status and populati | ion as follows: | |
| 27 | STATUS AND POPULATION | CLASS | I |
| 28 | Cities of 250,000 500,000 or more | First class cities | 7 |
| 29 | Cities of 35,000 to 249,999 499,999 | Second class cities | , |
| 30 | Cities of less than 35,000 | Third class cities | |
| 31 | Other municipalities of any | | |
| 32 | population | Towns | |
| 33 | (b) Except as provided in subsection (c), | , a city that attains a | |
| 34 | population of thirty-five thousand (35,000) remains a second class city | | |
| 35 | even though its population decreases to less than thirty-five thousand | | |
| 36 | (35,000) at the next federal decennial census. | | |
| 37 | (c) The legislative body of a city to which subsection (b) applies | | |
| 38 | may, by ordinance, adopt third class city status. | | |
| 39 | SECTION 3. An emergency is declared fo | r this act. | |



COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and Interstate Cooperation, to which was referred Senate Bill No. 151, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill DO PASS.

(Reference is made to Senate Bill 151 as introduced.)

RIEGSECKER, Chairperson

Committee Vote: Yeas 9, Nays 0.







y



COMMITTEE REPORT

Mr. Speaker: Your Committee on Local Government, to which was referred Senate Bill 151, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill be amended as follows:

Page 2, between lines 23 and 24, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 2. IC 36-4-1-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 1. (a) Municipalities are classified according to their status and population as follows:

| STATUS AND POPULATION | CLASS |
|---|--------------------------|
| Cities of 250,000 500,000 or more | First class cities |
| Cities of 35,000 to 249,999 499,999 | Second class cities |
| Cities of less than 35,000 | Third class cities |
| Other municipalities of any | |
| population | Towns |
| (b) Expant as provided in subsection (| a) a city that attains a |

- (b) Except as provided in subsection (c), a city that attains a population of thirty-five thousand (35,000) remains a second class city even though its population decreases to less than thirty-five thousand (35,000) at the next federal decennial census.
- (c) The legislative body of a city to which subsection (b) applies may, by ordinance, adopt third class city status.".

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to SB 151 as printed January 30, 2004.)

MOSES, Chair

Committee Vote: yeas 11, nays 0.







y